NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER NEWPORT, RHODE ISLAND

NUSCINST 5322.2A NA:MAO:ear 22 June 1971

NUSC INSTRUCTION 5322.2A

From: Commanding Officer

Subj: Project REFLEX

Ref: (a) DNL 1tr MAT 0332:RLB Ser 138 of 20 Apr 1970

Encl: (1) Sample Staffing Plan

- 1. <u>Purpose</u>. To provide guidance to all levels on Command policy concerning implementation of Project REFLEX (Resource Flexibility) at the Naval Underwater Systems Center and to enlist their interest and support in this program in accordance with reference (a).
- 2. Cancellation. NUSC Instruction 5322.2 is hereby canceled.
- 3. Background. Studies of DOD laboratories by the Civil Service Commission, the General Accounting Office, and the Military Departments have suggested weaknesses in the planning, programming and budgeting system for coordinating the entire manpower ceiling (and manpower allocation) process with funding and workloads within the in-house R&D Centers. Accordingly, the Secretary of Defense directed members of his staff and the three military services to design and conduct a limited and carefully controlled experiment, designated Project REFLEX. The purpose of the experiment, or demonstration, will be to determine whether it is feasible to use fiscal controls alone instead of the present systems of the combination of fiscal controls and manpower ceilings to manage operations of the in-house RDT&E organizations. This Center was selected as one of the three Navy Department RDT&E activities to test the effectiveness of this approach.
- 4. Objectives. The objectives of Project REFLEX are:
 - a. To facilitate changes in the mix of the scientific staff as needed.
 - b. To enhance the quality of R&D within the Cemter structure.
- c. In general to give the Center directors increased flexibility in getting jobs done.

If this experiment proves successful, the DOD may apply its principles more broadly to other organizations, both RDT&E and mon-RDT&E.

5. Project REFLEX Information Requirements. Under Project REFLEX three local systems will be required for implementation of the program which are:

NUSCINST 5322.2A 22 June 1971

- a. An operating budget and related reports that will provide good visibility of workload and all resources.
- b. A manpower statistical information system which will show pre-REFLEX conditions and periodic reporting to show any changes in these statistics under REFLEX.
- c. An appraisal system for measuring periodically changes in resources baseline data versus accomplishment. This will be done by examination of pre-determined factors, examples of which are:
 - (1) Changes in patterns of work or functions.
 - (2) Changes in skill and discipline mix and overall size of staff.
 - (3) Improvements in Center environment and Center quality.
- 6. Policy. The policy and broad responsibilities in connection with the implementation of Project REFIEX are delineated herein.
- a. Monthly financial reports are prepared by the Program and Financial Management Staff (Code F) for review by Center management. In reviewing these reports, Center management makes assessments of current staffing levels and expected funding and provides guidance regarding revisions to staffing plans. In addition, guidance regarding in-house/out-of-house ratios, staffing targets, and relative program balance for current and future years is provided. The semi-annual program summary will reflect this guidance and will be used to provide the basis for manpower planning under Project REFLEX.
- b. Upon review and approval by Center management, the staffing plan evolving from the program summaries will be used as the baseline for REFLEX manpower management. A sample staffing plan is shown in enclosure (1). This staffing plan will be included in the official issue of the semi-annual Center Program Summary. The various Directors will be responsible for administering the staffing plan. Their total manpower commitments may not vary from the plan by more than 3% without approval of the Commanding Officer and the Technical Director. When there is a significant change (10%) in expected funding level various Directors should consult Center Management to determine if revisions to the staffing plan are necessary.
- c. Division heads will be responsible for documenting in detail the justification for each new hire based on an appropriate combination of the following criteria:
- (1) Position results in an increase in in-house work of a commensurate dollar.
- (2) Position will provide specific enhancement of Center work output quality.

- (3) Position is needed to respond to new specified programs, or it represents a capability improvement in the spectrum of Center expertise.
 - (4) Position Management Program has been complied with.
- (5) Change will improve output in quality or quantity as specified. Under no circumstances will a position be requested to be filled on the basis of fund availability only.

If the justification meets the necessary hiring criteria, Directorate Heads shall submit the request to the Manpower Resources Directorate (Code M). Code M will furnish a copy of the Director-approved Form 52 to the Management Services Department (Code NA3). Code F will notify Directorate members of under-funded program areas and assist Directors in identifying excess personnel and in informing Code M. Code NA3, acting as a central clearing house, shall review the request for information and appropriate recommendation as Project REFLEX coordinator.

- d. The Center will conduct post-audits of manpower practices quarterly.
- 7. <u>Staffing Plan Review Board</u>. To expedite the procedures by which changes to the staffing plan can take place, a Staffing Plan Review Board is established. The permanent members of the Board are as follows:

Commanding Officer
Technical Director
Program and Financial Manager
Manpower Resources Director
Associate Director for Center Logistics
Head, Management Services Department

Chairman
Member
Member
Member
Member
Executive Secretary
(non-voting)

Requests for changes to staffing plans will be routed to Code F. Code F is authorized to approve minor changes, informing Codes NA and M. Code F will notify NA3 to call a meeting of this Staffing Plan Review Board for requests for major changes, or changes which require revised mission or functions. The Board, in addition, will meet quarterly to review the status of the Center's Staffing and to establish policy.

- 8. <u>Responsibilities</u>. The effective resolution of the myraid of problems inherent in this program will depend upon the support of all management and functional levels. In addition, the following specific responsibilities are assigned:
- a. Code NA3 is designated Project REFLEX coordinator for the Center., This function involves responsibility for providing information and procedures necessary for administration and evaluation of Project REFLEX. In obtaining information for evaluation Code NA3 shall consult with all principle staff heads as well as Directorate Heads and submit recommendations to the Commanding Officer and Technical Director for approval.

NUSCINST 5322.2A 22 June 1971 .

- Code NA3 will develop and administer a system for appraisal of results under Project REFLEX including establishment of standard factors against which measurements may be made.
- Code F is responsible for recommending funded manning levels to the Commanding Officer and Technical Director.
- d. Code M will review and evaluate requested position changes in support of the Position Management Program and will conduct reviews as required and develop personnel data for externally required REFLEX reports.
- The Directorate Heads will review and evaluate requested position changes as required to evaluate functional improvement and effectiveness.
- f. Code F will develop the necessary fiscal controls, budgets and data for externally required REFLEX financial reports.
- Retreat Under REFLEX. All of the above procedures and responsibilities are outlined in anticipation of a holding or expansion situation. Project REFLEX must also be flexible in operation to accomodate retrenchments for varying reasons, such as lack of workload, excess of skills, termination of project; therefore, retreat procedures to a lower staffing level may at times be necessary. In these cases the following actions will occur:
- Code F shall: (1) verify the projection of workload and/or funds, and notify the Directorate Heads of the situation, and (2) notify the Directorate Heads of other areas which can accept excess personnel.
- The Directorate Heads will evaluate these inputs and either direct reassignment of personnel to other programs in other divisions or notify Code M of personnel excess to Center requirements.
 - Code M will effect reduction-in-force procedures.
- 10. Reports. Project REFLEX will require many external and internal reports and evaluations. Responsibility for submitting these reports is as follows:
 - Personnel reports will be developed by Code M.
 - Financial reports will be developed by Code F.
- c. Code NA3 will coordinate the submission of all reports required under Project REFLEX.

G. 7. AUNDY
R. T. LUNDY

Distribution:

All Center Supervisors

STAFFING PLAN

CODE	ORGANIZATIONAL ELEMENT	FUNDED MANNING	DIRECT OVERHEAD IND G&A
CODE	•	LEVEL	
A	Commanding Officer		
В	Technical Director		
BD	Deputy Director		
AC	Chief Staff Officer	,	
C	Consultants and Special Staff		
F	Program and Financial Management Staff		
FB	Assist for Finance		
FR	Internal Review Staff		The state of the s
(A1	Program Coordination Department	4.000 to the name of the name	And the state of the second second second and the state of the second se
FA2	Resources Information Department		
FB1	Budget Department	ور مدور ساور مواد المواد الموا	and the state of t
FB2	Accounting Department		
P	Plans and Analysis Directorate		
PC	Consultants	-	
PA1	Long Range Planning Department	an in V	
PAS	Warfare Analysis Department		
PA3	Systems Analysis Department		
PA4	Computer Laboratory		2. THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY
М	Manpower Resources Directorate		
MC	Applied Behavorial Sciences and Consultants Group		
ML	Labor Relations Advisor		The state of the s
MAI	Manpower Utilization Department		i e
MA2	Personnel Management Services Department	-	
MA3	Human Resources Staffing & Development Department		

NUSCINST 5322.2A 22 June 1971

CODE	ORGANIZATIONAL ELEMENT	FUNDED MANNING LEVEL	DIRECT	OVERHEAD IND A&G
T	Science & Technology Directorate	,		
TC	Consultants			
TA	Associate Director for Sonar Research	***************************************	The state of the s	
ТВ	Associate Director for Weapons Research			
TA1	Ocean Science Department	\		The Charles of the Control of the Co
TBX	Systems Research Staff			
TBI	Energy Conversion Department		*	
TB2	Guidance and Control Department			
TD1	Sonar Technology Department			
S	Systems Development Directorate			
SA	Associate Director, Sensors		-	
SB	Assóciate Director, Weapons		1	
SA5	Advanced Acoustic Communications Project	-		**************************************
· ST	Torpedo Mk 48 Tech. Eval.	*******		والمراجع والمتعادية وا
SAL	Submarine Sonar Department	<u> </u>	<u>. 1</u>	
SA2	Surface Ship & Surveillance Sonar Departm	ent		
SA3	Special Forces Department	***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	et etter filmer filmer von der dem state state state filmer von der der der dem state von der der dem state von der der dem state von der der der der der der der der der dem state von der
SA4	Submarine Electro-Magnetics Systems Depar	tment	, i	
SM	Systems Integration Group (Program Manage	ns)	1	
SB1	Combat Systems Control Department			
SB2	Launcher Department		ţ	
SB3	Weapons Department		1	Contact the State Contact the
R	Fleet Readiness Directorate	2 8		
RM	Project Managers - Submarine - Surface - Air Systems			
RS	Subroc Weapon System Project		į	
RA1	Weapons Engineering Department	,	}	
RA2	Shipborne Equipment Engineering Departmen	t;		
RA3	Fleet Services Department	***************************************	*	
RA4	Sonar and Communications Engineering Departments			
E	Engineering Directorate			The state of the s
EP	Planning & Logistics Staff			
EM	Program Managers - Tech. Eval.	<u> </u>		
EA1	Design Department			

NUSCINST 5322.2A • 22 June 1971

CODE		FUNDED MANNING LEVEL	DIRECT	OVERHEAD IND A&G	
EA2	Industrial Department			<u></u>	
EA3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		
	Environmental Engineering Department		<u> </u>		
EA4	Instrumentation & Measurement Department	·			
EA5	Quality Evaluation & Engineering Departmen	t			
W	Test and Evaluation Directorate				
· WA	Officer in Charge AUTEC	***************************************	•	response and make the second second and seco	
Wal	AUTEC Management Department	**************************************	<u> </u>		- اعتدیب وسنی
WA2	Range Development Department	. 2000 St. 7000, 16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16-17-16		THE PERSON AND THE PERSON AND PROPERTY OF THE	***************************************
N	Officer in Charge, Newport Laboratory/ Director, Center Logistics				
NA	Associate Director, Center Logistics			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NP	Public Affairs Officer				
NS	Safety Office		1	***************************************	
NA1	Administrative Services Department		\		
NA2	Facilities Department	·····	-	***************************************	Mark Control of the C
(. 'A3	Management Services Department		-	والماف واستفراحها فالفاقل التفوادية والوسو والوسوة القديسة ويستديد	
NA4	Supply Department			hart werkerfall i for med untrafficht College (1984) aus marginer in College (1984)	
NF	Field Stations			-	THE REPORT OF THE PERSON AND PARTY.
L	Officer in Charge, New London Laboratory/ Director, Center			de familie gelegger og som kaller glade medle medle er skaller som se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LA	Associate Director, Center Operations				
. LP	Public Affairs Officer				~~~~
LS	Safety Officer	Andrews and Antonion			
LA1	Technical Information Department	 		127 1-121-111 1	
LA2	Fleet Liaison/Operations Department	-			
LA3	Security Department		1		<i>F234</i> ************************************
D	Officer in Charge Bermuda Detachment		·		

EVALUATION OF PROJECT REFLEX PERFORMANCE AT NUC AND NUSC

Prepared by

NRAC Laboratory Advisory Board on Undersea Warfare

for

December 1973

INTRODUCTION

The Laboratory Advisory Board on Undersea Warfare, as part of its responsibilities, monitors the programs of the Naval Undersea Center and the Naval Underwater Systems Center. Both of these Centers were operated as Project REFLEX organizations since the inception of the experiment on 1 July 1970. With the three-year demonstration period now concluded, the Advisory Board was requested to provide its assessment of the degree of success achieved by Project REFLEX operations.

In preparing this report, the Advisory Board draws on its observation of Center activities during the entire trial period; periodic reports and analyses of REFLEX were included as agenda items at a number of the Advisory Board meetings. Board members have also had the opportunity to review the material submitted by the two Centers in response to the GAO Questionnaire for REFLEX laboratories.

DISCUSSION

A A A . 2 0 1 3 0 5 5

The dominant theme which emerges from a review of the Project REFLEX trial period is that, while definite advantage was gained from the ability to operate under fiscal controls and without the usual manpower controls, any attempt to validate this conclusion through statistical evidence is unrealistic. During the three-year period both Centers substantially increased the size of their operations; NUC increased its total budget by 66% during the period FY 1970-73, while the corresponding increase for

NUSC was 53%. In the face of such rapid growth, any effort to isolate the effects of a single type of management control is extremely difficult.

Moreover, it must be remembered that while REFLEX operations did remove manpower ceilings, other factors prevented the exercise of truly flexible management of manpower. Civil Service regulations continue to hinder the optimum use (or termination) of civilian personnel. The requirements of achieving certain grade point averages, although readily accomplished by the two Centers, nevertheless introduced extraneous considerations in the efforts to mold a staff with maximum effectiveness.

It is clear, nevertheless, that positive results were achieved by REFLEX and that no negative side effects were experienced. The managements of both Centers were able to plan and implement their staff needs on a realistic basis and with a minimum of unnecessary bureaucratic interchanges between the Center management and higher headquarters. In addition to conserving management time and effort, the two Centers were able to flexibly readjust their staffs to meet changing needs without undue delays. The REFLEX reports submitted by the two Centers detail several well defined instances of the advantages gained from this capability.

One of the dangers of REFLEX-type operations, according to early critics, was that they would result in a greater degree of in-house self-sufficiency and would lessen the extent of industrial participation in Center

programs. This did not, in fact, happen. In the case of both NUC and NUSC, the ratio of in-house effort to outside contractor effort remained essentially constant over the entire three-year period. It seems clear that Naval Materiel Command policy and program needs are the controlling factors in determining the fundamental directions of Center operations, rather than the freedom inherent in the in-house control of staffing.

The Laboratory Advisory Board on Undersea Warfare has repeatedly stated its conviction that Navy laboratories should be given an increased degree of autonomy in the conduct of their internal operations. Program objectives and funding levels should be established through appropriate Headquarter channels, but internal Center functions should be the responsibility of Center management, including decisions as to how best to achieve the assigned program goals. REFLEX is a step in this direction, and based on the evidence available it is a justification for an even broader movement in these same directions.

In terms of management philosophy, the present system imposes dual controls on manpower, each with the same objective but frequently leading to harmful compromises in order to satisfy both. In commercial practice, a dual system of controls of this kind is rarely used, except possibly to cope with a short-time emergency planning situation. In doing away with one artificial set of controls, REFLEX is a step toward less artificial and more rational management.

CONCLUSION

The Laboratory Advisory Board on Undersea Warfare concludes that Project REFLEX has demonstrated the advantages of laboratory operations under fiscal controls only (i.e., eliminating controls over staffing), and recommends its continuation and extension to the full spectrum of Navy laboratories.

0 0 0 1 2 9 1 3 0 5 8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

тав а	DNL letter	(20	Apr	1970)	summarizing	basis,	purposes,
	objectives	and	dura	ation	of REFLEX		

- TAB B SECDEF Memo (30 Dec 1969) approving concept and discussing methodology, baseline criteria, and appraisal factors.
- TAB C DDR&E General Instructions (29 Apr 1970) covering appraisal processes and quantitative data needed.
- TAB D

 DDR&E Memo (26 Jan 1970) discussing Service DOL's interpretations of tasks, objectives and measurement indices.

00012713059

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT - FY 1973

(TAB A)

SUMMARY SOURCES OF REVENUE
Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island
(dollars in thousands)

	BEGINNING	BEGINNING OF FISCAL YEAR NBILLED WORK IN NET	L YEAR NET	1 JUL 72-30 JUN 73 ORDERS	JUN 73	AS OF 30 JUN 1973 UNBILLED WORK I	JUN 1973 WORK IN	NET
	BALANCE	PROCESS	AVALL	RECEIVED	BILLING	BALANCE	PROCESS	
A. DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY	67,802	10,891	56,911	105,689	122,002	51,489	177	
RDT&E	22,183	3,486	18,697	47,684	53,202	16,665	98	
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	26,429		22,228	28,821	34,729	20,521	16	
SHIPBUILDING & CONVERS			9,105 ·	4,553	6,389	7,734	41	
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	8,167	2,405	5,762	20,277	24,499	3,945	15	
NAVY INDUSTRIAL FUND	1,134		814	2,742	2,810	1,066	2	
OTHER NAVY	319 }ુ	14	305	1,612	373	1,558	Uı	
B. OTHER DOD	215	7	208	894	706	403	. 87	
C. OTHER GOVERNMENT	389	84	305	424	308	505	79	
NON APPROPRIATED FUNDS	131	30	101	321	221	231	15	
TOTAL REVENUES	68,537	11,012	57,525	107,328	123,237	52,628	358	
TOTAL IN-HOUSE	54,830	8,810	46,020	85,862	98,590	42,102	286	
TOTAL IN-HOUSE NON RDT&E	29,060	4,669	24,391	45,507	52,253	22,314	152	
TOTAL OUT-OF-HOUSE	13,707	2,202	11,505	21,466	24,647	10,526	72	10,454

(EXHIBIT 060106-2, TAB B)

PROJECT REFIEX REPORT FY 1973
ANALYSIS OF COSTS INCURRED
Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island
(dollars in thousands)

TOTAL COSTS INCURRED - IN-HOUSE	Salaries & Wages - Overtime In-house Contractual Services Other Costs Total Administrative Expenses In-house Non-Add: Military Personnel Services	νς, II	In-house Contractual Services Other Costs Total Indirect Expenses	ALL INDIRECT COSTS OTHER THAN ADMINISTRATIVE Materials, Supplies & Parts Used Salaries & Wages - Regular Time Salaries & Wages - Overtime	Materials, Supplies & Parts Used Salaries & Wages - Regular Time Salaries & Wages - Overtime In-house Contractual Services Other Costs Total Direct Costs Non-Add: Military Personnel Services	
85,170	463 2,611 2,750 15,696 606	1,518 8,354	50 50 6,338	327 5,635 75	10,135 32,237 2,403 14,164 4,197 63,136	FY 1972 - Actual (1)
20,405	83 239 1,248 4,093 305	169 280 2,243	1,710	119 1,422	2,636 7,207 434 3,219 1,106 14,602	1st Qtr Actual (2)
43,597	170 586 1,483 7,405 343	498 677 4,489	15 462 3,623	171 2,937	5,592 15,809 1,115 7,893 2,160 32,569 168	FY 73 CUMULATIVE r 2nd Qtr Actual (3)
70,188	300 1,060 2,010 11,651 387	1,050 7,231	5,332	280 4,608	8,864 24,921 1,629 14,268 3,523 53,205	VE 3rd Qtr Actual (4)
100,956	411 1,624 3,668 17,206	875 1,538 9,965	40 539 7, 471	432 6,407	13,371 34,405 2,158 21,867 4,478 76,279	4th Qtr Actual

PROJECT REFIEX REPORT FY 1973

ANALYSIS OF COSTS INCURRED

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island (dollars in thousands)

TOTAL COSTS INCURRED	Total Out-of-House	<pre>Increase (-) or Decrease (+) in Unbilled Costs</pre>	
94,253	9,083	11,012	FY 1972 Actual (1)
22,192	1,787	(1,510)	1st Qtr Actual (2)
47,979	4,382	2,036	FY 73 CUMULATIVE 3 2nd Qtr 3 Actual A (3)
78,107	7,919	8,785	VE 3rd Qtr Actual (4)
113,094	12,138	10,654	4th Qtr Actual (5)

25 September 1973

Page 2 of 2

Enclosure (2)

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1973
STATEMENT OF SPECIAL DATA ON LABOR AND EXPENDITURES
Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island

Non-Add: Military Personnel Total Hours	Total Hours Civilian - regular Civilian - overtime Total civilian labor hours	Leave Hours (All types of compensated leave) Non-Add: Civilian Non-Add: Military Personnel	Administrative Expense Hours Civilian - regular time Civilian - overtime Civilian - total Non-Add: Military Personnel	All Indirect Except Administrative Hours Civilian - regular time Civilian - overtime Civilian - total Non-Add: Military Personnel	LABOR CATEGORY Direct Labor Hours Civilian - regular time Civilian - overtime Civilian - total Non-Add: Military Personnel	
335	5,552 305 5,857	928 62	1,310 . 56 1,366 128	683 8 691 35	3,559 241 3,800 110	FY 1972 Actual (1)
36	1,313 57 1,370	310 3	360 11 371 21	172 2 174	781 44 825	1st Qtr Actual (2)
147	2,754 139 2,893	619 10	689 22 711 44	353 4 357 72		(FY 1973 (C) r 2nd Qtr Actual (3)
235	4,240 206 4,446	835	1,058 38 1,096	542 5 547 115		umulative) 3rd Qtr Actual (4)
299	5,770 270 6,040	1,029 21	1,430 51 1,481 88	744 6 750 144	3,596 213 3,809	4th Qtr Actual (5)

25 September 1973

Page 1 of 3

(Enclosure 3)

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1973 STATEMENT OF SPECIAL DATA ON LABOR AND EXPENDITURES

(EXHIBIT 060106-3, TAB C)

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island

Administrative Expense (Exhibit 060106-2) * divided by total Civilian Direct Labor Hours	OVERHEAD RATES (Dollars per Direct Hour) All indirect costs other than administrative * divided by Total Civilian Direct Labor Hours	Military - time (including leave) Direct Labor Indirect Labor Total	MANYEARS. Civilian - Regular time (including leave) Direct Labor Indirect Labor Total	
4.13	1.67	64 96 160	1,982 1,110 3,092	FY 1972 Actual (1)
4.96	2.07	1 16 17	460 320 780	Ist Qtr Actual (2)
4.06	1.99	11 60 71	1,006 616 1,622	r 2nd Qtr 3rd r 2nd Qtr 3rd Actual Actual (3)
4.16	1.90	17 96 113	1,513 927 2,440	ATIVE) 3rd Qtr Actual (4)
4.52	1.96	24 120 144	2,027 1,242 3,269	4th Qtr Actual (5)

^{*} Excludes OUT-OF-HOUSE Costs

7

(1) Includes \$33,931 million applicable to advances 157 146 199 168 in accordance with verbal directions received from NAVCOMPT and NAVMAT (2) Includes \$2 million decrease in the cash allocation (3) Includes \$1 million decrease in the cash allocation	Total	Enlisted	Military Offi	TOTAL Civilian Direct Hire	Civilian - Direct Hire Exempted from Ceiling Disadvantage Youth, Stay-in-school, and Public Services	3. Summér Subtotal	END OF PERIOD PERSONNEL Civilian - Direct Hire Under Ceiling 2. Other	EXPENDITURES AND COLLECTIONS (Dollars in thousands) Collections Net Expenditures
157 These prep	41 116		3,255	115 115	24 3,140	2,990 126	111,027 (2,392)	FY 1972 <u>Actual</u> (1)
146 ayment bill	40	,	3,194	19 19	3,175	3,055	47,073(1) (22,346)	1st Qtr Actual (2) 24.727(2)
158 199 ings were	41			61	107 0 3,272	3,165	29, 259 26, 275 2, 984	2nd C Actua (3)
128 168 Processed	40			63	90 0 3,248	3.1 58	27,822 28,536 (714)	
125 162	37	, , +500	130	130	101 84 3,325	2	53,833(3) 30,951 22,882	4th Qtr Actual (5)

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT - FY 1972 SUMMARY SOURCES OF REVENUE

(TAB A)

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island (Dollars in thousands)

TOTAL	TOTAL	TATOT	TOTAL	NON £	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	в. С		•>	_	. 0	<u>.</u>	v.	A. I				.*•
TOTAL OUT-OF-HOUSE	, IN-HOUSE	. IN-HOUSE	TOTAL REVENUES	NON APPROPRIATED	OTHER GOVERNMENT	OTHER D	OTHER NAVY	NAVY INDÚSTRIAL FUND	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERS.	OTHER PROCUREMENT NAVY	RDIGE	DEPARTMENT OF THE	•			
F-HOUS	USE NO	USE	SEU	IATED :	OVERNM	000	AVY	DUSTRI	N & NO	LDING	ROCURE:		ENT OF	,		•	
. H	non RDT&E			FUNDS	ENT	•		AL FUN	AINTEN	& CONV.	MENT X						
	EJ.				٠.			Θ.	ANCE	ERS.	AVY		NAVY .	-		•. •	
		•	• • •	•			•						•				,
9,529	20,202	38,117	47,646 ⁽³⁻³⁾	29	113	439	316	ं 639 ा	6. 323	7,035	21,237	11_515	47,065			BALANCE	BEGINNING
•		•		•			•				· .	,			•	PR.	G OF
1	•	1	ı	١.	1 .	t	1	1.	ŧ	1	•	.				WORK IN	FISCAL
	20	38	47	•		•			o ,	7	21		47			NET AVA	AL YEAR
9,529	20,202	38,117	47,646	29	113	439	316	639	323	.035	237	7 7 7 7	47,065	٠		NET AVAIL	AR
•		٠.							•	• •			,				1
20,	44,	83,9	104,906	1.5	1.3		•	1,882	20.0	ດຸ	26.8	49 1	104,271			ORDERS RECEIVED	JUL 71-30 JUN72
981	480	925	906	312	323		57	382	ည် (ယ	354	Š į	သ	271	,		RS VED	-30
16,803	35,622	67,212	84,015	2		ĺ	, T	1,429	18, 18	ມູ	21,689	ည က	83,456			BILLING	JUN72
ပ္သ	22	12	.	210	7	342	108	9	φi	72	8 6	ò	8,			CNG ·	
13	29	54	68					، سو	00 (و	26	သ သ	67		•	UNI BA:	AS
13,707	29,060 .	54,830	68,537	131	429	97	265	092	8, 167	517	26.350	08.	67,880			UNBILLED BALANCE	OF 30 JUN 1972
. 2	4	œ	11	•	,				>		たに	در	10				JUN
2,202	4,669	8,810	11,012	30	84	7	. 18	320	405	194	4.201	Ω	10,891			WORK IN	1972
11,505	24,391,	46,020	57,525		1.5		.	٠ •	J1 (٥١	22.149	0	56,989			NET AVAIL	
505	391,)20	525	101	345	90	247	772	762	λ ;	64,	1	686			∐	

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1972 ANALYSIS OF COSTS INCURRED

(Exhibit 060106-2, Tab B)

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island (Dollars in Thousands)

									-	•					
TOTAL COSTS INCURRED - IN-HOUSE . 76	sts. ministrative Non-Add: Mi	Salaries & wages - Regular Time Salaries & Wages - Overtime In-house Contractual Services	IVE EXPENSES s, Supplies & Parts	L	In-house Contractual Services Other Costs	& Wages - Re & Wages - Or	COSTS OTHI		Total Direct Costs	use Contractual Services	& Wages - Regular Time 27	s, Supplies & Parts Used	lt».	h-f	
76,574	3,456	7,045 252	1,319	5,846 58	37 606	321 4,853 29	•	132	7 219 7 219	2,159 16,784	•	6,269	Actual (1)	FY 1971	
14,315	781 3,084 153	1,951 91	58	1,368 48	71 1	8 1,293 8		150	0 866 866	413 671	7,276	637	Actual (2)	1st Qtr	
35,141	. 2,397 7,670 305	3,830 221	428	2,874 96	126	112 2, 606 16		301	1,674	1,049 3,844	15,028	. 3,002	Actual (3)	FY 72 Cumu 2nd Qtr	
59,418	11,961 · 486	6, 098	910	4,689	42	200 . 4, 199 43		486	2,015	1,698 7.962	23,782		Actual (4)	Cumulative tr 3rd Qtr	
85,170 .	2,750 2,750 15,696 606	8,354 . 463	I,518	6,338) 5 5 7 4 0 1	327 . 5, 635 75		597	4,197	2,403 14.164	32,237	10.135	Actual (5)	4th Qtr	
107,413	2,135 3,739 16,691 500	4.0	761	51/ 6,949 130	1 H (298 6, 044 39		83, 7/3 693	144,4	1,965 28 717	38,910	9.740	Estimates (6)	FY 1973	

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1972 ANALYSIS OF COSTS INCURRED

(Exhibit 060106-2, Tab B)

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island (Dollars in Thousands)

TOTAL COSTS INCURRED		Total Out-of-House	Increase (-) or Decrease · in Unbilled Costs		•
			3	•	
	emelle emelle	dina.	A STATE OF THE STA		
85,819	•	9,245	5,994	Actual (1)	FY 1971
14,781	-	466	(12,828)	Actual (2)	1st Qtr
37,666		2,525	11,067	Àctual (3)	2nd Qtr
64,520		5,102	10,442	•	
94,253		9,083	11,012	Actual (5)	4th Qtr
123,356	•	15,943	(5,000)	Estimates (6)	FY 1973

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1972 STATEMENT OF SPECIAL DATA ON LABOR AND EXPENDITURES Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT FY 1972 STATEMENT OF, SPECIAL DATA ON LABOR AND EXPENDITURES Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island

Administrative Expense (Exhibit 060106-2) * divided by total Civilian Direct Labor Hours	OVERHEAD RATES (Dollars Per Direct Hour) All indirect costs other than administrative * divided by Total Civilian Direct Labor Hours	Military - time (Including Leave) Direct Labor Indirect Labor Total	MANYEARS Civilian - regular time (Including Leave) Direct Labor Indirect Labor Total	
3. 85	1.68	14 119 133	1,811 1,015 2,826	FY 1971 Actual (1)
3.57	1.59	67 100 167	1,880 1,124 3,004	lst Qtr Actual (2)
4.26	1.60	66 99 165	1,957 1,092 3,049	FY 1972 (Cumulative) r 2nd Qtr 3rd (Actual Actual (3)
4.28	1. 68	65 98 163	1,969 1,103 3,072	lative) 3rd Qtr Actual (4)
4.13	1.67	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	1,982 1,110 3,092	4th Qtr Actual (5)
4.07	1.70	20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2,208 1,137 3,345	FY 1973 Estimates (6)

* Excludes OUT-OF-HOUSE Costs

'PROJECT REFIEX REPORT FY 1972 STATEMENT OF SPECIAL DATA ON LABOR AND EXPENDITURES Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, Rhode Island

	-	1071		72 (Cumulative)	<u>}</u>	
	: =	Actual (1)	Actual (2)	Actual (3)	Actual (4)	Actual I	Estimates (6)
EXPENDITURES AND COLLECTIONS (Dollars in thousands Gross Expenditures	1s)	86,652	18,673	29,521	26,471	33,970(2)	132,788
Collections			22,215(1)	28,900	29,219	30,693	132 7
Net Expenditures	~ .*	2,118	(3,542)	621	(2,748)	3,277	501
END OF PERIOD PERSONNEL	·	-			.•		-
Civilian - Direct Hire Under Ceiling	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.756	2.903	2.913	2.918	2.990(3)	3.184
2. Other .	•	93	111	157	190	126	116
3. Summer		9 87	,)) ,	24	
			• • •	1		; ;	
1. Disadvantage		59	O	0	0	115	43
•		11	33	34	34	* 400	ı Ç¢
Subtotal		70	33 c	34	34	//5 ⁺³⁴ *	51
TOTAL Civilian Direct Hire		3,006	3,047	3,104	3,142	3,274 *	S
Military	-					ر ب ب	3351
Officer		30	. 42	48	46	41	40
Enlisted		94	. 144	119	143	116	126
Total (1) Includes \$10 million authorization	-	124	186	167	189	157	166
) Includes 65 employees	ion			ř			,
	,				•		

14 August 1972

of 3 (Enclosure 3)

* Changed to agree with OCMM Rep.

124 J. Rugers 8/21/72

SUMMARY OF SOURCES OF REVENUE
OPERATING BUDGET AND FISCAL YEAR TO 30 JUN 1971 REPORT
(ENTRIES IN THOUSANDS)

INSTALLATION - Naval Underwater Systems Center Newport, R. I.

							•	•		•	٠.	
In-House Non RDT&E	Total-In-House	Total Out-Of-House	Total	Non-Appropriated Funds; Individuals and Others	d. Other Gov't Dept.	c. Air Force	b. Army	SCN OTHER	OPN .	RDT&E	Ħ	
								•	•			,
26,953	37,431	11,987	49,418	\$ /	. 12	128		7,475 783	18,245 7,783	14,976	%0 96/ (*)	Beginning Unbilled Balance
2,358	4,155	1,839	5,994	13	1 .	32	•	167 168	1,559 1,155	2,900	л 0/0	of FY
24,595	33,276	10,148	43,424	۳	12	96	1	7,309 615	.16,68/ 6,628	12,076	./.2 215	1971 Net Avail.
46,970	82,628	7,185	89,813	157	113	:	50	3,486 1,310	19,413	38,759	80 / 93	Period Tra Orders Reccived (4)
45,682	84,258	7,327	91,585	164	. 10	108	1	3,859 1,347	20,431	41,930	91 303	Transactions s ed Billings
28,241	35,801	11,845	47,646	7	115	20	50	7,103 746	6,765	11,805	. 47 454	End of Ru Unbilled Balance
		1.	ı	1	ı	į	1 ·	1 1	1 7	1	' (eportin
28,241	35,801	11,845	47,646	. 7	115	20	50	7,103 746	6,765	11,805	47 454	End of Reporting Period Unbilled Net alance WIP Avail (6) (7)

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT

Statement of Special Data on Labor and Expenditures

(In Thousands of Dollars)

Exhibit 060106-3 Page 1 of 3

			•	
			Francisco (Constitution of Constitution of Con	II nl)
				(In Thousands of Dollars)
Actual (1)	FY 1970	Installation N		t Dollars)
1st Qt (2)	Ϋ́	Naval Und		

Civilian-regular time Civilian-overtime Civilian-total	Total Hours	Civilian Non-Add: Military Personnel	Leave Hours (all types of compensated leave)	Civilian-total Non-Add: Military Personnel	Civilian-regular time	Administrative Expense	Civilian-total Non-Add: Military Personnel	Civilian-regular time Civilian-overtime	All indirect except Administrative	Civilian-regular time Civilian-overtime Civilian-total Non-Add: Military Personnel	Direct Labor	Labor Hours (000)		
4,929 190 5,119		891 16	leave)	1,223 167	1,194 29		671 45	668		3,067 158 3,225 33			Actual (1)	Installation Naval Underwater Systems Center
1,271 52 1,323		· 268 5		308 . 52	303		165 1	164 1		804 46 850			1st 0tr (2)	laval Underwa
2,481 123 2,604		519 10	·.	588 103	575 13	•	320 3	319 1		1,587 109 1,696 12			2nd Qtr (3)	ter Systems
3,756 188 3,944		682 15		892 161	870 22		. 482 . 6	· 479 3		2,407 163 2,570 20			$ \sim$ κ \sim	~ la
5,050 267 5,317		850 21		1,199 221	1,167		643 9	639 4		3,244 231 3,475 27	• .		tr 4th Qtr	Newport, R. I.
5,459 230 5,689		951 33		1,333 221	1,304		621 10	617 4		3,538 197 3,735 34	٠,		Estimate (6)	•

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT Statement of Special Data on Labor and Expenditures (In Thousands of Dollars)

Exhibit 060106-3 Page 2 of 3

Manyears 1/ Total Labor Hours Non-Add: Military Personnel Total Hours Civilian-Non-Pay Hours Military-regular time Civilian-regular time Military-overtime *Administrative expense (Exhibit 060106-2 *All indirect other than administrative Overhead Rates Total Direct Labor Indirect & Administrative Labor Direct Labor divided by Total Civilian Direct Labor Hours) divided by Total Civilian Direct Labor Hours Direct Labor Indirect & Administrative Labor Indirect & Administrative Labor nstallation Actual 24 261 6,034 1970 1,049 3.42 1.97 17 110 127 Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, R. 1st Otr 64 1,597 $\frac{2}{2}$ FY 1971 Actual 3,51 1.93 467 270 737 3 27 30 2nd Qtr (3) 10 128 **3,133** 1,438 3.64 1.79 917 521 56 3rd Otr 12 202 **4,**638 1,359 766 2,125 4 3.80 1.73 (Cumulative) 11 86 97 4th Qtr 14 278 6,181 1,015 2,826 1,811 (5 3.85 1.68 14 119 133 Estimate FY 1972 298 6,938 2,074 6 1,126 3.28 1.57 142 18 124

^{*}Excludes out-of-house costs

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT Statement of Special Data on Labor and Expenditures (In Thousands of Dollars)

Exhibit 060106-3 Page 3 of 3

Full Time Permanent 2,670 2,676 2,732 2,709 Temporary Part-Time 75 101 66 87 Summer Student Program 120 108 - 108	Officers 28 25 29 30 Enlisted 95 95 99 86 Civilian Personnel 95 95 99 86	Civilian-Direct Hire 2,865 2,777 2,798 2,796	Gross Expenditures 71,797 25,842 53,951 70,302 8 Collections 71,958 25,083 52,647 68,591 8 Net Expenditures (161) 759 1,304 1,711 End of Period Personnel	Installation Naval Underwater Systems Center, Newport, R. I. FY 1970 FY 1971 Actual (Cumulative) Actual 1st Qtr 2nd Qtr 3rd Qtr 4th Qtr (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) Expenditures and Collections
670 75 120 .	28 95	865	.,	tion Nava 1970 1971 1
2,676 101	25 95	2,777	25,842 25,083 759	1 Underwate FY 1971 st Qtr (2)
2,732	29 99	2,798	53,951 52,647 1,304	
2,709 87	· . 30 86	2,796	70,302 68,591 1,711	enter, Newpo (Cumula 3rd Qtr (4)
2,756 106 74 59	30 94	2,936	86,652 84,534 2,118	ative) 4th Otr (5)
2,809 77 128 110	35 94	3,014	90,682 95,226 (4,544)	FY 1972 Estimate (6)

--<u>--</u>:

PROJECT REFLEX REPORT Analysis of Costs Incurred (In Thousands of Dollars)

Exhibit 050106-2